CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY CHANNEL ISLANDS

COURSE MODIFICATION PROPOSAL

Courses must be submitted by November 9, 2007, to make the next catalog production

DATE (CHANGE DATE IF REVISED): 2 NOVEMBER, 2007 REV 12.19.07 PROGRAM AREA(S): VARIOUS

Catalog Description of the Course. [Follow accepted catalog format.] 1. (If Cross-listed please submit prefixes for each discipline being modified)

OLD

Prefix UNIV Course# 110 Title Critical Thinking and the University Units (3) University Mission Units (3) 2 hours lecture per week 3 hours lecture per week 1 hours seminar per week Ohours blank per week

Prerequisites:

Corequisites:

Description (Do not use any symbols): This course explores the language and the logic of academic disciplines and teaches critical thinking skills. The heuristics of logic including inductive and deductive reasoning, form a major part of the course content. Professors from each discipline will participate in lectures and discussion to provide exposure to methods and ways of knowing across the curriculum. Through lecture and class discussion, students learn to form various types of argument, apply rhetorical methodologies, and comprehend the relationship of language to logic. Through written assignmenht, oral presentations, and group work, students learn to express their ideas and accept constructive criticism from peers. The class exercises and lectures will also provide students with means to acquire or improve their academic and professional skills (eg., information literacy, library skills, research methods). C 1 1

NEW

Prefix UNIV Course# 110 Title Critical Thinking through the

Prerequisites:

Corequisites:

Description: This course teaches critical thinking skills, including forms of logic, by introducing students to the four components of our mission. Through special presentations, guest lectures, and small discussion sections. Students will apply various types of arguments and understand the relationship of language to logic in analyzing issues and opportunities specific to multiculturalism, internationalism, interdisciplinarity and community engagement.

	Graded			Graded	
🔀 Gen Ed	CR/NC	Repeatable for	🔀 Gen Ed	CR/NC	Repeatable for
Categories A3		up to	Categories A3		up to
Lab Fee Required	🗌 A - F	units	Lab Fee Required	🖾 A - F	units
Hegis Code	\boxtimes	Multiple			Multiple
8	Optional	Enrollment in		Optional	Enrollment in same
	(Student's	same semester		(Student's	semester
	choice)			choice)	
Mission Based Learning	Objectives: 🔀	Interdisciplinary	International Multicultura	l 🛛 Service L	earning
American Institutions, Title V Section 40404: Government US Constitution US History (Refer to EO 405, for					

more information at: http//senate.csuci.edu/comm/curriculum/resources.htm

Service Learning Course

2. Mode of instruction (Hours per Unit are set for you)

Existing

Proposed

Lecture Seminar Laboratory Activity Field Studies Indep Study Other blank	Units <u>2</u> <u>1</u>	Hour Per Unit <u>1</u> <u>3</u> <u>2</u>	Benchmark Enrollment <u>200</u> <u>25.</u> 	CS# Units (filled out by Dean)	Lecture Seminar Laboratory Activity Activity Activity Activity	Units <u>3</u> 	Hour Per Unit <u>1</u> <u>3</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> 2	Benchmark Enrollment 	CS# Units (filled out by Dean)
Other Dialik					Activity		<u> </u>		

3. Course Content in Outline Form if Being Changed. [Be as brief as possible, but use as much space as necessary]

OLD

- (1) What is Critical Thinking?
- (2) What is an Argument?
- (3) Explanations and Value Arguments
- (4) What is the Argument? Conclusion and Premises.
- (5) Reformulation and Complex Arguments
- (6) Evaluating Argument Form
- (7) Deductive Arguments
- (8) Evaluating Argument Content
- (9) Language and Meaning
- (10) Induction and Causal Arguments
- (11) All-things-considered Arguments and Analogies

NEW

- (1) What is Critical Thinking?
- (2) What is an Argument?
- (3) Explanations and Value Arguments
- (4) What is the Argument? Conclusion and Premises.
- (5) Applying Arguments:
- a) Multiculturalism; b) Internationalism; c)
- Interdisciplinarity/synergy; d) Community Engagement
- (6) Evaluating Evidence and kinds of evidence
- (7) Induction and Causality

4. Justification and Learning Objectives for the Course. (Indicate whether required or elective, and whether it meets University Writing, and/or Language requirements) [Use as much space as necessary]

OLD

NEW

OLD	
The course meets the A-3 GE requirement. At the conclusion of	The course meets the A-3 GE requirement. At the conclusion
the course, students will be able to:	of the course, students will be able to:
(1) Discuss the major problems of languge as they apply to	(1) Discuss issues inherent in the control of meaning;
Critical Thinking/Reasoning;	(2) Identify and define common types of material,
(2) Discuss the major problems inherent in definition and	psychological, and logical fallacies in argumentation;
control of meaning;	(3) Assess how evidence is applied to substantive issues tied to
(3) Identify and define common types of material,	each of the four mission-based elements;
psychological, and logical fallacies in argumentation;	(4) Choose an issue and develop and assess various arguments
(4) Assess the weighing of evidence, as it is basic to the	used to promote specific social policy, law, curriculum, etc.;
development of logical arguments;	(5) Assess fallacies; distinguish fact from opinion; and be able
(5) Distinguish formal fallacies in logic;	to examine various facets of complex issues or problems;
(6) Distinguish fact from judgment or opinion;	(6) Demonstrate the uses of inductive and deductive reasoning.
(7) Explain the value of multiple ways of knowing;	

5. References. [Provide 3-5 references on which this course is based and/or support it.]

(8) Demonstrate the uses of inductive and deductive reasoning.

OLD

NEW Campus Reading Celebration text (assuming that choosing criteria continues to include meeting University Mission) Writing Logically, Thinking Critically, 2nd. ed. (Longman, 1977)

Sheila Cooper and Rosemary Patton

California Dreams and Realities: Readings for Critical Thinkers and Writers (Bedford, 2nd ed., 1999) Sonia Maasik and Jack Solomon

Rereading America: Cultural Contexts for Critical Thinking and Writing, 5th ed (Bedford, 2001) Gary Colombo, Robert Cullen, and Bonnie Lisle

Paul, R. & Elder, L. (2007). The Miniature Guide to Critical Thinking: Concepts and Tools. The Foundation for Critical Thinking.

6. Indicate Changes and Justification for Each. [Check all that apply and follow with justification. Be as brief as possible but, use as much space as necessary.]

- Course title
- Prefix/suffix
- Course number
- Units
- Staffing formula and enrollment limits
- Prerequisites/corequisites
- \sum Catalog description
- Course content

\boxtimes	References
\boxtimes	GE
	Other

- **Justification:** The Center directors collaborated to remake the course as a Mission-focused course. Accordingly we have changed the title and description to reflect our new emphasis. We have also modified the structure of the course in order to create a course that can more effectively integrate the mission ideals with critical thinking tools. This change in structure allows for flexibility for sections to be combined for guest lectures and joint activities. We believe that this will help us to build learning communities and cohorts of students to fulfill the mission of the university.
- 7. General Education Categories: All courses with GE categories notations (including deletions) must be processed at the GE website: <u>http://summit.csuci.edu/geapproval</u>. Upon completion, the GE Committee will forward your documents to the Curriculum Committee for further processing.

Curriculum Committee for further processing.	
A (English Language, Communication, Critical Thinking)	
A-1 Oral Communication	
A-2 English Writing	
A-3 Critical Thinking	\boxtimes
B (Mathematics, Sciences & Technology)	
B-1 Physical Sciences	
B-2 Life Sciences – Biology	
B-3 Mathematics – Mathematics and Applications	
B-4 Computers and Information Technology	
C (Fine Arts, Literature, Languages & Cultures)	
C-1 Art	
C-2 Literature Courses	
C-3a Language	
\C-3b Multicultural	
D (Social Perspectives)	
E (Human Psychological and Physiological Perspectives)	
UD Interdisciplinary	

8. New Resources Required. YES 🗌 NO 🖂

If YES, list the resources needed and obtain signatures from the appropriate programs/units on the consultation sheet below.

- a. Computer (data processing), audio visual, broadcasting needs, other equipment)
- b. Library needs
- c. Facility/space needs
- **9.** Will this course modification alter any degree, credential, certificate, or minor in your program? YES INO IF, YES attach a program modification form for all programs affected.

10. Effective Date (Semester and Year – all modifications submitted prior to November 9th will be effective in the Fall 2008 catalog): Fall 2008

Beth Hartung, Trudy Milburn, Andrea11.2.07Grove, Brad Monsma, Julia BalenDate

Request for UNIV 110: Critical Thinking through the Mission to be added to GE Category A3: Critical Thinking.

Committee Response: Approved by committee on 11-07-2007

Criteria and Justifications Submitted:

- *Prepare the student to use reasoning of both inductive and deductive types* Through the textbook and supplemental readings, students will be introduced to both types of reasoning. We will use mission-based examples for students to practice using inductive and deductive reasoning skills.
- *Focus on the analysis of written, oral, visual and/or symbolic communication* Within this course, students will have the opportunity to use oral and written language to demonstrate their comprehension of arguments within a variety of communication genre (film, internet, TV, journal articles, etc).
- Prepare the student to assess common fallacies in reasoning This course will present arguments on a variety of mission-related topics such as multiculturalism, internationalization, interdisciplinarity, and social issues. The students will have to recognize which fallacies are commonly used within particular discourses. Furthermore, students will have to critique particular messages while abstaining from common fallacious traps. Popular discourse is filled with fallacious arguments about each of the center areas. This course will introduce students, through critical thinking models, to academic discourse expectations with regard to these topics.
- Address modes of argument, rhetorical perspectives, and the relationship of language to logic The mission centers present an ideal focus for helping students to discern the relationship between language and action. Through assignments, guest speakers and class discussion, students will have to compare different perspectives and produce logically sound arguments.

Program Chair(s)	Date	
General Education Chair(s)	Date	
Curriculum Committee Chair(s)	Date	
Dean of Faculty	Date	