

1. Policy on Research Misconduct: RSP: Scott Perez

Hi Greg,

I've added some comments to the minutes based on the amendments approved by Senate. As to the first amendment regarding placing copies of the Inquiry and/or Investigation reports into the respondent's "...Personal Action File or Working Personal Action File...", the regulation does not require that copies of any reports be placed in the respondent's file. The regulation does require, however, that the respondent be provided copies of both reports along with a copy of the regulation and institution's policy. I'm attaching a list of the respondent's rights and responsibilities.

Please let me know if you have any questions. I'm happy to attend Exec if anyone would like to discuss further. In case this is helpful, between CSUN and CI I've been in sponsored programs administration for 28 years. I've not received any allegations of research misconduct. CSUN has millions of dollars of funding annually from sponsors covered by the PHS Act, e.g., NIH, SAMHSA, AHRQ, CDC and HRSA.

Thanks,

Scott

Scott Pérez, MA, CRA

Director, Research and Sponsored Programs

2. Policy Pro Leave: FAC: Stephen Clark

Hello Greg:

After careful consideration of the feedback received to date, please find attached the latest iteration of the FAC's proposed update to the Policy on Paid Professional Leaves.

The words highlighted on pages 2, 4, and 6 have been added to the version that was presented at the first reading. These additions were made to incorporate the feedback provided on the Senate Canvas page.

At the second reading, we will present the committee's response to the one question posed on the floor during the first reading.

Please let me know if you need anything else.

Sincerely,

Stephen --Stephen Clark

Interim Program Chair and Professor of Spanish

3. Policy on GE Characteristics: APPC: Jared Barton:

April 21 Minutes

1. Super brief updates

-APPC scheduled (May 19th at 10-11am)

-meeting with Provost not yet scheduled. Will try to get on dance card.

2. Discussion of Senate Feedback to SP 16-11 update – do we wish to offer an amendment to our proposal?

-We decided not to make any of the changes suggested, as we had previously discussed exactly these points and voted in the opposite direction.

-GE may produce a small slide deck explaining the changes.

3. Expedited pathways for courses: JB will map JG's proposal to our documents