Meeting Minutes
Academic Senate
Del Norte 1500
Tuesday, September 30, 2025
2:30-4:30pm
This meeting offers a virtual option through Zoom:
https://csuci.zoom.us/j/83478324400

Present: Aaron McColpin, Alison Perchuk, Amira Ibrahim, Amy Denton, Annie White, Antonio Jiménez, Billy Munroe, Robin Bennett, Brian Thoms, Nicole Vines, Chris Scholl, Christina Smith, Chuck Weis, Clare Steele, Cynthia Flores, Dylan Cooper, Nicole Vines, Emily Conde, Gareth Harris, Heather Castillo, Ivona Grzegorczyk, Janet Pinley, Jared Barton, Jose Alamillo, Karina Chavarria, Kevin Hayakawa, Kimmy Kee-Rose, Lance Nolde, Lorna Profant, Lydia Dixon, Manuel Correia, Maria Ballesteros-Sola, Matthew Campbell, Megan Kenny Feister, Monica Pereira, Rebecca Slocum, Riley Crain, Simon Quiroz, Susan Lefevre, Syed Ali Naqvi, Tabitha Swan-Wood, Theresa Avila, Tom Clobes, Weldon Smith, Greg Wood, Jessica Lavariega Monforti, Bradley Olin, Ritchie LeRoy, Gina Gibau, Lina Neto, Phil Hampton, Jill Leafstedt, Monica Rivas, Colleen Forest, Kristen Dobson

Proxies: Lydia Dixon for Colleen Delaney, Kathleen Klompien for Stacey Anderson

Absent: Jeannette Edwards, Rosario Cuevas, Georgina Guzman, Andrea Grove, Jennie Luna, Susan Andrzejewski, Veronica Guerrero, Luis Sanchez

1. Opening the Meeting
    a. Meeting called to order at 2:40pm, after determining quorum.
2. Approval of the Agenda
    a. M/S/P to approve the agenda.
3. Approval of Minutes from 9/9/25
    a. M/S/P to approve the minutes.
4. Returning Business
    a. GE Assessment Policy - Marie Francois presenting
        i. M/S to adopt
        ii. Marie presented information on GE Assessment.
        iii. Discussion Summary:
        T. Avila inquired about the relationship between GWAR and GE. M. Francois noted that some GWAR are GE and some are not, and there is not a policy she is aware of with exclusivity in either direction.
        iv. Motion passes by unanimous consent.

b. WMC Resolution and Letters of Support - Greg Wood presenting
   i. M/S to adopt
   ii. Discussion Summary:
   S. Lefevre shared her appreciation for everyone who put this Resolution together. It highlights the effectiveness of the WMC and the beneficial role of the faculty members involved.
   iii. Request for roll call vote.
   iv. Vote: 46Y / 0N / 1A. Motion passes.

5. New Business
   a. B.S. Completion in Computer Science) - Brian Thoms presenting
      i. M/S to accept.
      ii. Discussion Summary:
      K. Klompien inquired on how this program will impact faculty. B. Thoms responded that the program will have a mix of new and existing faculty.
      C. Flores inquired about how faculty will be compensated if students do not meet the prerequisites in math before starting the program. B. Thoms responded that it would be done through EU.
      A. Perchuk brought forth concerns about faculty potentially teaching on an overload and how that affects the service component of faculty contracts. Additionally, she inquired about why the program is done through EU vs. Stateside. B. Thoms responded the benefit of having a program taught through EU is there is an easier way out if the program is not successful. For service, faculty are bound to the 125% rule, and every program needs to be able to manage and complete their fair share of service.
      I. Grzegorcyzk shared her support of this program.
      K. Hayakawa noted a mismatch between admission and grad requirements, which raises concerns about how students can complete required courses if not offered through EU. J. Hannah responded that the advising team in EU will match them with classes and EU will intermittently offer courses that students need to graduate. Sometimes though, it is in the better financial interest of the students to take it at a community college.
      A. Ibrahim noted the hurdle of having to take individual classes and suggested that the program delay admissions so students could take the courses beforehand. J. Leafstedt shared that the EU students are told at orientation, and they have ongoing advising. She further noted that the students in EU are on average over 30, and when they decide to apply they want to get started right away.
      R. Crain noted a potential error in the budget table.

Action: J. Hannans will look at the potential budget error and report back to the group.

C. Smith noted concerns about bringing on new programs and cutting other programs. J. Leafstedt shared that EU gave back $9M to campus. Conversations are had about capacity, and with Comp Sci they are able to hire people who might not be able to be hired stateside. Provost Lavariega Monforti shared that the Comp Sci department has made a decision that they have the bandwidth to run an additional program. She noted trends that stateside tends to pick up enrollment when we add a completion program.
C. Smith asked if faculty feel comfortable saying no to teaching these courses. Provost Lavariega Monforti said yes, people say no and has not heard about any faculty being pushed. T. Clobes further noted some lecturers are getting courses through EU after they lost WTUs stateside.

6. Intent to Raise Questions
    a. In progress:
        i. I. Grzegorczyk; fire procedures. Chief Massey will do a presentation next week at reports.
        ii. S. Anderson; bus schedule. Chief Massey wanted more information, so a separate meeting is happening Monday.
        iii. SBC. Still in process.
        iv. Perchuk; emergency contact info. Lance is working on it.
    b. Answered:
        i. R. Crain
        ii. J. Edwards
        iii. I. Grzegorczyk; funding.
        iv. A. Ibrahim
        v. A. Perchuk; external chairs.
        vi. M. Pereira.
        vii. W. Smith
    c. New questions.
        i. R. Crain: transparency around ability to rearrange offices.
        ii. A. Perchuk: Art History lost students.
7. Adjourn
    a. M/S/P to adjourn at 4:18pm.

Submitted by Taryn McHugh, Senate Support Staff.